

## Minimal Model of Prey Localization through the Lateral-Line System



Jan-Moritz P. Franosch<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Elepfandt<sup>2</sup>, and J. Leo van Hemmen<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Physik Department, TU München, 85747 Garching bei München, Germany <sup>2</sup> Institut für Biologie, Humboldt Universität, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany

The clawed frog *Xenopus* is a predator catching prey at night by detecting water movements. We present a general method, a 'minimal model' based on a minimum-variance estimator, to explain prey detection through the frog's lateral-line organs. Waveform reconstruction allows *Xenopus* to determine both direction and character of the prey and even to distinguish two simultaneous wave sources.



Figure 1: The clawed frog's lateral-line organs can be seen as white "stitches".

In the present case water can be taken as a linear system<sup>1</sup> where the deflection  $y_i$  of cupula *i* is linear in the stimulus  $x^{\mathbf{p}}$  at position  $\mathbf{p}$  on the water surface,

$$y_i(t) = (h_i^{\mathbf{p}} \star x^{\mathbf{p}})(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_i^{\mathbf{p}}(\tau) x^{\mathbf{p}}(t-\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \,. \tag{1}$$

Here  $h_i^{\mathbf{p}}$  is the so-called impulse response at cupula *i*. An approximation of the transfer function is given by

$$H(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{r}} D_{\Delta\varphi} \exp\left[\frac{4\nu k^3}{\omega}(r_0 - r) + ik(r_0 - r)\right].$$
(2)

We minimize the expectation value of the least-squares error

$$||x^{\mathbf{p}} - \hat{x}^{\mathbf{p}}||^2 = \int_0^{T_I} [x^{\mathbf{p}}(t) - \hat{x}^{\mathbf{p}}(t)]^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{3}$$

The solution minimizing the error in (3) can be shown to be

$$\hat{x}^{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{j} s_{j}^{\mathbf{p}} \star y_{j} , \quad S_{j}^{\mathbf{p}}(\omega) = \frac{H_{j}^{\mathbf{p}*}(\omega)}{\sum_{i} |H_{i}^{\mathbf{p}}(\omega)|^{2} + \sigma^{2}}$$
(4)

The functions  $S_j^{\mathbf{p}}$  are the Fourier transforms of the *reverse* transfer functions  $s_i^{\mathbf{p}}$ .



Membrane potential  $V^{\mathbf{p}} \approx \hat{x}^{\mathbf{p}}$  of the spike-response<sup>2</sup> neuron

$$V^{\mathbf{p}}(t) = \sum_{i,k,f} J^{\mathbf{p}}_{ik} \varepsilon(t - t^f_i - \Delta^{\mathbf{p}}_{ik}) + \sum_{i,k,f'} J^{\mathbf{p}'}_{ik} \varepsilon(t - t^{f'}_i - \Delta^{\mathbf{p}'}_{ik})$$
(5)

where the  $t_i^j$  are the firing times of the nerve from lateral-line organ i and  $\Delta_{ik}^{\mathbf{p}}$  is the delay time of synapse k with synaptic strength  $J_{ik}^{\mathbf{p}}$ .



Figure 3: Neurons responding strongest ( $\varphi \approx 0$ ) tell Xenopus the direction of the wave source. The membrane potential of these neurons gives Xenopus an approximation to the actual wave form and allows the animal to distinguish different kinds of prey.



Figure 4: Top: *Xenopus'* experimental response angle<sup>3</sup> versus stimulus angle. Left: intact *Xenopus*. Right: lateralline organs at the right-hand side have been deactivated. Bottom: Response of our neuronal model.



Figure 5: 'Map' like that of Fig. 3 for *two* wave sources, positioned at  $\varphi = -45^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ . With the help of its evaluations, *Xenopus* could easily distinguish position and waveform of the sources, as in experiment<sup>4</sup>.

- Lamb, H. (1932) Hydrodynamics (Cambridge University Press) 6th edn. §§226ff, 246, 331, 332.
- [2] Gerstner, W. & van Hemmen, J. L. (1994) in Models of Neural Networks II, eds. Domany, E., van Hemmen, J. L. & Schulten, K. (Springer, New York) chap. 1 pp. 39–47.
- [3] Claas, B. & Münz, H. (1996) J. Comp. Physiol. **178**, 253–268.
- [4] Elepfandt, A. (1986) Neurosci. Lett. (Suppl.) 26, 380.